

App F IX 4

Previous References

194 4
Municipal

File No 81-43

Serial Nos 38 & 39-

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 2

Part B for June 1944

SUBJECT

Bidding and tenders for construction of cement concrete oval sewer from the last manhole constructed by Mr. Ramachandra Rao Contractor in Sunkal farne wph Koramangala (Tender by Messrs. Dornan Swann Pillay & Gannon Dunkerley & Co.)

- 1) The Director of P. H.
- 2) Chief Engineer in Mysore for roads & buildings
- 3) Municipal Commr. Bangalore
- 4) Comptroller to Govt

L. M. 1-2364-7 dated 14.6.44

Accept to the tenders.

29/7

Letter no C 81 1536 D/16 5-44 from the
Dr of Health requesting sanction
to the acceptance of the tenders of
Messrs M. Coomaraswamy Pillai
& Co for Part II & Messrs Gannon
Dunkerley & Co for Part I for the
construction of cement concrete
ovoid sewer from the last manhole
in the Sunkal Farm up to the Kora-
- mangala Disposal site in Bangalore
an estimated cost of Rs 3,60,000/-

1 The estimate is for Rs 3,60,000/-
& it has been divided into two parts.

It is proposed to accept the tenders of
Messrs M. Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co
for Rs 1,61,513/- for Part II & Messrs Gannon
Dunkerley & Co for Rs 1,57,467/- for Part I.

2 It is stated that the work has been
divided into two parts with a view to
get through the work in as short a
time as possible & to make up the time lost.


3 The Chief Engineer for Irrigation
may perhaps be consulted in regard
to the payment of two sets of rates for
a single estimate.

4 It is also stated that Messrs
Gannon Dunkerley & Co has given
information that the tenders will be
good only for one month from the

date of the tender
 12/5
 Forwarded into compliance to
 the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore
 for forwarding remarks on the
 procedure adopted (vide further order in OVD)
 in getting this work completed
 and return of the file in three days


(Law & leave)
 910 332
 18-5-1944

1001/877 30 s. acc
 U O No 412/ AD 1 dated 29-5-44



Returned with compliments
 6 More than one tender for the same work may be
 accepted for administrative convenience and such a
 thing is not prohibited under the rules out there
 will be disparity in rates for the same items of works
 in the two Sections of the same work which requires
 special sanction of Government

m. Shamaiah
 Comptroller



CV

Cur 31-5-44

7 For the sake of administrative
 convenience, sanction may be accorded
 to the acceptance of two tenders for different
 sections of the same work, received from
 Messrs. Coomaraswami & Co. & Jannan
 Brothers & Co., although there is disparity

XXX
 KRS

2nd class for the construction of the road sewer
 note P. 10 D 7 1/2 ft
 as recommended by the Director
 of Public Health Refers to 5 days


Lawrence 31-5-44

5
 2
 1000
 4
 600

In part I the difference is
 not much the Human way price 1600.40
 & Mess G. & Son Kelly 169467
 So the first part may be
 given to Mess G. & Son Kelly

In part II the price
 was 1,675.73
 while Mess G. & Son Kelly was 1,625.39

This may be given to the
 Government



Number 255
 &
 Down

2 2 2 2
 from
 1/4
 6 6 6 6

7 JUN 1944
 7796

12/4/44
 6 44
 1/2
 DFT for return
 13/6/44

4

2262
L

11/6 -
13/6

suled with a draft go for appl

some body

Am
13/6/64

Sl 39 To the Sec of Health

The Chief Engineer for Road & Bridges


The mil Comm, Bury this Post

The Comptroller

No L 12364-7 8/14-6-64

I annexes the proposal

B 17/6



$$\begin{array}{r} 7262 \text{---} 5 \\ \hline 2 \end{array}$$

7 + 8
m/ks To

17 MAY 1944
L S G Bfr

3 One of the tenderers Messrs Gannon Dunkerley has given

has given intimation that the tenders will hold good only for one month from the date of the tender

Under the circumstances, as there is necessity for getting through the work as early as possible, I request that you may be so good as to obtain orders of Government approving the tenders of the two lowest tenderers viz , Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co for Part II and Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co , for Part I

A copy of the letter of the Special Officer, Bangalore City Drainage Division and the tenders received are herewith sent

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

O. Panthany

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

7

Letter No 343 dated 15th May 1944 from the Special Officer,
Bangalore City Drainage Division, Bangalore, to the Director of
Public Health in Mysore, Bangalore

Subject - Tenders for the construction of cement concrete
void sewer from the existing manhole in Sunkal Farm
up to Koramangala disposal site, Bangalore City

I have the honour to submit herewith two comparative
statements and the relative tenders in original with all the
connected records in connection with the above work. The tenders
were called for in two parts viz, Part I for the portion from
Manhole 60 to 77 up to junction of Hosur Cantonment road and
Part II for the portion from Manhole No 77 (junction of Hosur
Cantonment road) to disposal site at Koramangala

Part I

Three tenders were received from the marginally noted
contractors and the tenders of Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co ,

Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co } for Rs 1,59,467/- happens
Messrs M Narasimiah & Bros }
Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co } to be the lowest and the

next higher tender is that of Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co ,
for Rs 1,60,040/- It has to be mentioned that Messrs Gannon
Dunkerly & Co , actually credited the required earnest money
deposit on the next day after the tenders were presented (Vide
their letter No 306/BA enclosed as already reported in this
office No 333 dated 4 5 1944 Further the tenderers were
notified not to put any time limit for the acceptance of the
rates tendered But the contractors have put a condition that
their tender may be considered valid only for one month from
date i e , 3 5 1944 in their letter No 307/BA enclosed
Under the circumstances, it is a point for orders as to whether
the tender of Messrs Gannon Dunkerly & Co , which happens to be
the lowest may be considered valid and accepted

orig
JL
mdl

120

01

125

PART II

Four tenders were received in the case of this work
from the marginally noted contractors and the tender of Messrs

26
Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co , for Rs 1,61,593/- happens

Messrs M Coomaraswamy & Co ,	}	to be the lowest and may be kindly recommended for acceptance
Messrs Gannon Dunkerly & Co ,		
Messrs M Varasimiah & Bros		
Messrs R A Rajagopal & M Shama Rao		

3 It has to be mentioned that the estimate for the work of extending the main outfall from Sunkal Farm up to Koramangala tank (12,000) for Rs 3,60,000/- was sanctioned in G O No I 362-64/41 32-110-169 dated 18th July 1941 and Messrs N Ramachandra Rao and Bros were entrusted with the work and then contract was recommended for cancellation in this office No 228 dated 3 2 1944 addressed to the Director of Public Health in Mysore, Bangalore, for not giving the stipulated progress on the work. Now the work is divided into two parts and tenders are called for separately for different portions of the same estimate. This leads to different rates being accepted for identical items of work in one and the same estimate. This is obviously objectionable from the audit point of view and is for consideration and orders.

In conclusion, it has to be stated that against the sanctioned estimate of Rs 3,60,000/- ana, Rs 2,91,900/- only will be for the work portion for the work of constructing the main ovoid sewer as provided in the comprehensive scheme. The total outlay to end of March 1944 is Rs 26,429/- and the total of lowest tenders for both portions now submitted for acceptance amount to Rs 3,21,060/-. Other works charged to this estimate amount to Rs 7,666/-. Thus the excess cost over the estimate will be Rs 50,973/- as noted below

Work portion of the estimate	Rs 2,91,900/-
Total progress to end of March 44	Rs 26,429-1-0
Amount of lowest tender for Part I	Rs 1,59,467-0-0
-oo- Part II	Rs 1,61,593-0-0

Total probable outlay	Rs 3,47,489-1-0
Excess over the work portion of the estimate	55,589/-
or	Rs 56,000/- approxi- mately

The estimate for Rs 3,60,000/- will be suitably revised and submitted for sanction after the work is completed. This extra cost is proposed to be met out of the general savings under the Comprehensive scheme for Rs 41,25,141/- sanctioned by Government in their order No I 342-26/M1

2
M1 90-41-4 dated 1st November 1941 I request that early orders
of Government may kindly be obtained and communicated

"Copy"

G. Barkham

\ DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

SUBJECT

Ad

10

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 194

Date of issue

14/6

194

Signed by

[Signature]

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (i) treated as a Standing Order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?
- (iv) published in the Gazette
Kannada Part ?

Section *me*
File No 81-43
Serial No 39

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—Letter No 81-1536 D/6/K
May 1944 from the
Dir of P Health stating
that the estimate for Rs 3,60,00
for the construction of cement
concrete overboard sewer
from the last manhole
in the Sankal Harin
Order thereon—No
up to the Koramangala
Disposal site, ^{Bell} has been
divided into two parts
with a view to carry out
the work in as short a
time as possible and
requesting sanction to
the acceptance of the tender

of Messrs M Coomaraswami
Pillar & Co, for Rs 1,61,513 for
part II & that of Messrs
Gannon Dunkerly & Co for
Rs 1,59,467 for Part I of
the above estimate

order no 12364-7

Gout are pleased to direct
that the tender of Messrs M
Coomaraswami Pillar & Co
for Rs 1,61,513 ^{for Part II} & that of Messrs
Gannon Dunkerly & Co for
Rs 1,59,467 for Part I be

accepted, for the sake of administrative
convenience, although the rates vary for the same
items of work in the two sections of the same work

List of Enclosures

For sent to Govt

11/12
13/6

Chas Seft

To The Dir of Health (W.R.) ✓

The Chief Engineer for Roads & Buildings ✓

The mil Commr, Bangalore, this - P.S. ✓

The Comptroller ✓

Copied by

Examined by

ORDERS

NOTES

subject regⁿ sanction to the acceptance of Tenda
calling for quotations in two portions for one
single ~~contract~~ ^{contract} construction of cement concrete
in distance from the last milestone construction
in Simtal Tanni up to Hiraangala ¹⁸¹²

Giving to the cancellation of the contract
of M/s Ramachandra Rao and Brothers for the above
work the action taken by the Special Officer
city drainage division may be accepted in
calling for quotations in two parts
to carry out the work in as short a time as
possible whereas ^{for} getting the work done
by two agencies. But regarding the
payments of two sets of rates ^{for the same work} for a single
estimate is not regular.

I approved, and may kindly be passed
to W B D T for opinion

for
1957 W E

Why the estimate is split in
two parts while calling for
tenders has been explained &
is stated to be due to the
intention of getting the work
completed early.

The tenders for recommendation
for approval are only the lower

for

Passed in to report was IV
for favor of kind remarks
1957 W E

12
 In the two portions, but the two are different, if the lowest tenders are to be approved the differences in rates for the same items in the two sections ^{therefore} are invariable.

In view of the fact that the work is to be got done expeditiously and the acceptance of lowest tenders would only be favourable to govt there seems to be no objection to accept the lowest tenders.

though there would be disparity in rates for the ~~same items~~ ^{identical items} in the two sections - vide also note 3 of

para 112-5 of M P W A code in which the P W Executive officers may split up the work into sections & give out the work for execution to different contractors.

19/5

8/5
 22/5
 1A & I

(2) C 2's opinion in regard to part of 2 sets of rates for a single estimate has not been obtained

00 WARD

Draft Reply

Returned with compliments

3) In view of the fact that the work is to be done expeditiously and the acceptance of lowest tenders may be accepted (vide

note 3 of para 112-5 of M P W A code) which the work may be split up into sections and may be given to different contractors though there would be disparity in rates for the identical items in two sections.

the estimate of 2000 items will be split up into 2 parts of 1000 items each and the work will be given to different contractors.

This does not mean that the work will be split up into 2 parts of 1000 items each and the work will be given to different contractors. The work will be split up into 2 parts of 1000 items each and the work will be given to different contractors. The work will be split up into 2 parts of 1000 items each and the work will be given to different contractors.

Comptroller
 Subd. Secy
 22/5/57

B

* In accordance with Note 3 of Para 112-5 of M P W A code last line of Page 25 of the P.W.D. may give out the work to different contractors a number of contracts relating to the work in the interest of public economy. The Director's Proposal for the acceptance of the work will be in the form of a contract. The P.W.D. may give out the work to different contractors a number of contracts relating to the work in the interest of public economy. The Director's Proposal for the acceptance of the work will be in the form of a contract.

Paegs 1-13